Approved For Release 1999/09/07 1018 CIA's Critical Time

A SPECIAL CORRESPONDENT

OR the Central Intelligence Agency to make public, as it has recently, its estimates and views on the Soviet economy (which re discussed on pages 188 and 189) is something new on the part o his much-criticised but traditionally silent service. In Washington here is much speculation about this departure, which ha been received with a minimum of enthusiasm at the State Depart nent. One of the motives of Mr McCone, the head of CIA, aid to have been a desire to improve the agency's " image " which has been frayed as a result of its operations in Cuba and Vietnam

This battering may also account in part for the intensity of it urrent recruiting drive. In the September number of Scientifi American there appeared a modest advertisement headed, surpris ngly, "The Central Intelligence Agency." It offered careers t scientists in Washington and elsewhere, and added "The work I classified." More recently Mr Max Wiecks, the CIA's recruiting officer in New York, held a luncheon meeting for university official in charge of appointments for graduates. The agency, he said sought to recruit students of economics, politics, history, geography languages, science and mathematics, and would compete with private business and the universities to get them. "Don't trea this as a joke," Mr Wiecks warned his audience. "Remember, th

enemy could profit by that."

The habit of regarding the CIA as a joke has tended to sprea in Washington during the past few years—the wags have dubbe it "McConey Island." But, as the Hoover Commission admitte in 1955, attracting bright young graduates into intelligence ca never be easy. Few trained scholars relish the prospect of workin under conditions of maximum security. Recent congressional di cussion of a "CIA Retirement Act of 1963 for Certain Employees underlines the danger of redundancy. And CIA's largely-deserve reputation as a "hard-line" agency undoubtedly repels at least proportion of those who might otherwise be willing to work for it the eagle on the CIA's insignia stares fixedly to the right.

Nor have the agency's relations with the State Department show much sign of improvement. Following the Bay of Pigs episode Cuba, President Kennedy reminded each American ambassad abroad of his personal responsibility for overseeing the activities all American officials resident in his jurisdiction. But this did n prevent Mr John Richardson, the CIA chief in Saigon, from co tinuing to buttress the Diem regime last autumn while Ambassad Lodge was attempting to modify or even to undermine it. only way Mr Lodge could assert his authority was by having rival recalled to Washington. The problem is that local CIA official owe allegiance to neither the ambassador nor the State Departmen but to a powerful agency in Washington which, as events in Vietnan demonstrated, is itself capable of influencing policy.

Events in Vietnam also demonstrated the disadvantages housing fact-gathering and "special operations" under the same roof. As one expert commentator has put it, agents trying both to collect information and to bolster up or overthrow a foreign government "may develop a less than objective sense for distinguilaing between fact and aspiration." In Saigon the CIA found itself both assessor and assessed. But to separate the two functions wo not be easy: operatives well placed for collecting clandestine formation are often also well placed for conducting covert ope tions. Moreover, the creation of a separate special-operations ager would almost certainly lead to duplication and conflict. Dur 1961 a committee under General Taylor toyed with the idea of: transferring the bulk of the CIA's covert operations to the Defence Department. But this solution had the obvious drawback of ensur-

ing that the uniformed services, and hence American pres would become involved as soon as any paramilitary undertal became a matter of public knowledge. In the event, routine op tions were left in the CIA's hands, with control to be transfer to the Defence Department only if a particular venture grew enough to warrant open military participation.

America's difficulties in Vietnam point to another ende problem of intelligence: evaluation. It is one thing to co crude data, another to make sense of it, yet another to make dictions based on it. Sometimes assumptions about policy int on the assessment of data; occasionally an agency develops a sti institutional commitment to a given position on policy. T dangers are magnified the more intelligence becomes central In this field, although under Mr Kennedy it had a powerful in the State Department's intelligence office, CIA remains p mount ; its head is not merely "Director of CIA" but "Director of Central Intelligence." In the stormy aftermath of the Ba Pigs, top Administration advisers suggested that the function fact-collection and evaluation be separated and that an indepen-"Co-ordinator of Intelligence" be appointed. Eventually Mr McCone was named Director without any major reforms instituted but, in January, 1962, President Kennedy did wri Mr McCone advising him to delegate routine operational work to concentrate on his primary task of co-ordination and evalua-

Most of the CIA's problems are insoluble; they would ar some form no matter what the institutional structure. For reason, both Congress and the Executive have looked to the creof some permanent mechanism of surveillance. As early as a Bill for the setting up of a Joint Committee on Foreign Intellig was introduced in the House of Representatives and in 195 Senate devoted two days to debating a Bill. The proposa been revived in recent months, but it is still stoutly oppos the Administration: quite apart from breaches of security might occur, no President wishes to see his lines of authority the CIA fouled by zealous legislators. To forestill this possiin 1956 President Hisenhower appointed an independent, lay sultative committee. This was reactivated in 1961 and rename President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. How of meets, or whether it accomplishes anything, no one is quite s Its present chairman, Mr Clark Clifford, an old friend of President Johnson, is reputed to know little of intelligence matters but considerable skill as a bureaucratic politician and this rather expertise may be what the job requires. Just before his death. ever, President Kennedy called for a new study of all intelli activities to improve their efficiency and increase their co-ordin Mr Johnson has appointed to conduct it, under the supervis Mr McCone, representatives of the State Department, the se and the CIA itself. Whatever its conclusions, of the intelli community in general it must in fairness be said: its successes go unrecorded, its failures are trumpeted to all the world.

Approved For Release 1999/09/07: CIA-RDP75-00001R000200410021-8